

Our Public Lands



Desired Outcomes for this Discussion

Provide a status update on:

- A. What progress BLM has made since the end of public scoping on December 1, 2017 for the Greater Sage-Grouse (GRSG) Planning Effort
- B. Purpose and Need for this Planning Effort
- C. Discussion of Issues
- D. Parameters for this Planning Effort
- E. Tentative Planning Schedule (Opportunities for Engagement)

Progress Since December 1, 2018

- Scoping ended on December 1, 2018 and all comment letters received were parsed and categorized at a range-wide level. BLM (range-wide) received over 175,000 submissions from NGOs, federal/state/local governments, private industry, and members of the public.
- December 27, 2018 BLM (range-wide) released revised/new sage grouse implementation policies regarding: Habitat Assessments, Adaptive Management, Grazing Thresholds and Responses, Grazing Permit Prioritizations, Habitat Objectives, and Oil and Gas Prioritizations.
- March 2, 2018 BLM's Scoping Report was published and the Department of Interior's Deputy Secretary recommended to Secretary Ryan Zinke that BLM will move forward with state-by-state targeted amendments.
- Range-wide Purpose and Need was formulated to respond to Secretarial Order 3353:
 Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation and Cooperation with Western States.
- BLM Nevada developed draft agreements to establish formal cooperating agency status with partners and scheduled its first cooperating agency meeting for March 21, 2018.

Purpose and Need

The BLM is preparing this land use plan amendment in response to SOs 3353 [Greater Sage Grouse Conservation and Cooperation with Western States] and in accordance with 3349 [American Energy Independence]. The purpose of this action is to continue conserving, enhancing, and restoring greater sage-grouse and their habitat while improving coordination with state management strategies for greater sage-grouse. Using its discretion and authority under FLPMA, BLM proposes modifying greater sage-grouse habitat management within existing land-use plans to improve alignment with individual state plans or management strategies while balancing BLM's multiple-use and sustained yield mission.

On March 31, 2017, the United States District Court for the District of Nevada held that the BLM violated the NEPA by failing to prepare a supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the designation of Sagebrush Focal Areas (SFAs) in the Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan (RMP) Amendment in Nevada. This action will respond to the court's request to analyze the inclusion of SFAs.

Issues

Issues to be Addressed through Plan Amendment Alternatives

- Modifying Habitat Boundary Designations
 - Removing Sagebrush Focal Areas
 - Adopting the most recent 2016
 USGS maps the State is currently using
 - Flexibility Associated with Adopting New Habitat Maps
- Adaptive Management
- Exception Process (for all land use plan allocation decisions)
- Seasonal Timing Restrictions

Issues to be Addressed through Plan Decision Clarification or Policy

- Application of:
 - Habitat Objectives
 - Lek Buffers
 - Design Features
- Compensatory Mitigation
- Fire and Invasive Grasses and Weeds
- Outcome Based Grazing

Parameters for this Planning Effort

- > Final Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Amendment must be completed by February 2019 (no schedule extensions).
- > BLM Nevada and Northeastern California will be part of one planning area (similar to the last effort).
- > Targeted amendment with no more than three alternatives (no action alternative, management alignment with the State alternative, and a potential third alternative to further address planning issues).
- > Will "incorporate by reference" as much analysis as possible from past efforts to comply with Secretarial Order 3355 (Streamlining NEPA).

Tentative Planning Schedule

Key Planning Milestone	Timeframe
Public Scoping	November – December
	2017
Develop Alternatives	March – April 2018
Develop and Review Impact Analysis	April – May 2018
Publish Notice of Availability for Draft Amendment/EIS	July – October 2018
90-day Public Comment Period and Public Meetings	
Develop and Review Preliminary Proposed Amendment/Final EIS	January 2019
Publish Notice of Availability for Proposed Amendment/Final EIS	February 2019
Public Protest Period (30 days) Governor's Consistency Review	
(60 days)	

